



# 2021 ANNUAL ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FORM (Due October 15 to the dean)

The Faculty Senate Academic Assessment Committee (AAC) is committed to a vision of assessment that leads to continuous program improvements and benefits students. Annual assessment reporting informs decision making and resource allocation aimed at improving student learning and success. It also enables the AAC to analyze assessment across the institution and to respond to UA System, Board of Regents, legislative, and Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) requests. We thank you for your continued support of and participation in this annual activity.

Starting in Spring 2021, UAA is moving to one academic assessment reporting mechanism. The below form merges and streamlines the former Annual Academic Assessment Survey and the Annual Academic Assessment Report. It also incorporates questions about how academic programs contribute to student achievement of institutional core competencies and to student success.

This annual report will be due to the dean on October 15. Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.

These reports are public documents and will be posted on the assessment website. Responses are to be narrative only, and must be ADA and FERPA compliant. Do not embed any links, including to webpages or other documents. To be FERPA compliant, do not include the names of any current or former students. Rather, use statements such as, "In AY21 four program graduates were accepted to graduate programs in the field." Programs with specialized accreditation or other external recognitions must comply with restrictions regarding what can be published, as per the accreditor or external organization. Do not include appendices. Appendices to this form will not be accepted.

The form uses narrative, text, and drop-down boxes. Narrative boxes have a character limit, which includes spaces. When using text and drop-down boxes, if you want to undo an answer, press "Control-Z" or "Command-Z."

For technical assistance with this form, email Academic Affairs (uaa.oaa@alaska.edu).

### PROGRAM SECTION (Due to the dean on October 15)

After completing the Program Section, the program should email this form to the dean, with a copy to the appropriate community campus director(s) if the program is delivered on a community campus.

**Submission date:** 10/15/2021

Revised 9-3-2021 Page 1 of 7



Submitted by: Henry W. Haney, Associate Professor, hwhaney@alaska.edu

Program(s) covered in this report: Petroleum Technology UC

(Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.)

If you selected "Other" above, please identify. (100 characters or less)

College: Community and Technical College

Campuses where the program(s) is delivered:  $\square$ Anchorage  $\square$ KOD  $\boxtimes$ KPC  $\square$ MSC  $\square$ PWSC

Specialized accrediting agency (if applicable): N/A

If explanation is necessary, such as only some of the certificates and degrees are covered by the specialized accreditation, briefly describe:

## **INSTITUTIONAL STUDENT LEARNING CORE COMPETENCIES**

In 2020, UAA launched a consensus-based, deliberative process to identify the key skillsets that help students achieve academic and post-graduation success. After a year-long process that included students, faculty, staff, administrators, alumni, and employers, the UAA community identified four "core competencies" at the heart of a quality UAA education. Students develop mastery of these competencies through curricular (e.g., courses), co-curricular (e.g., internships, conferences), and extracurricular (e.g., student clubs) learning experiences.

After the stakeholder-based process in AY20, UAA conducted a pilot project focusing on the core competency of Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility (PPCR). This decision was based on input from the 2020 Annual Academic Assessment Retreat.

Question #1 below is designed to engage program faculty in thinking about how they can or already do promote student learning in this core competency.

- 1. Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility: The knowledge and skills necessary to promote personal flourishing, professional excellence, and community engagement.
  - What would you hope a student would say if asked where in your program or support service they had the opportunity to develop proficiency in this Core Competency? (500 characters or less)

The students would answer that Personal, Professional, and Community responsibility is emphasized in the majority of the Core Courses in the Petroleum Technology Certificate Program. Their actions as "upstream" Operators will have a consequence. A correct

Revised 9-3-2021 Page 2 of 7



responsible response action will create a well-run production process. An adverse careless action can potentially cause safety or environmental harm.

If yes, please briefly describe. (500 characters or less)

The PRT A260 Oil & Gas Exploration and Production II class covers why quality work is a necessity. High-quality work is a reflection of a professional attitude and a personal choice that is emphasized in the Petroleum Technology Certificate program so future upstream operators will avoid possible safety and environmental issues effecting the surrounding their work location and the surrounding community.

 Do you have any ideas about where your program or the university might develop other intentionally designed opportunities for students to develop proficiency in this core competency? □Yes ☑No
 If yes, please briefly describe. (500 characters or less)
 Not at this time.

#### PROGRAM STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Please list the Program Student Learning Outcomes your program assessed in AY21. For each outcome, indicate one of the following: Exceeded faculty expectations, Met faculty expectations, or Did not meet faculty expectations.

Example: Communicate effectively in a variety of contexts and formats – Exceeded faculty expectations.

Outcome # 7: Assessed one class, 21 student assignments, 100% C or better. Exceeded faculty expectations.

Outcome # 9: Assessed two classes, 19 student assignments: 84.2% C or better. Met faculty expectations.

3. Describe your assessment process in AY21 for these program student learning outcomes, including the collection of data, analysis of data, and faculty (and other, e.g., advisory board) conversations around the findings. (750 characters or less)

The Petroleum Technology Certificate Assessment Plan July 2020 is planned to be accomplished using a three-year rotation. Designated Program Student Learning Outcomes data will be submitted by faculty to the KPC Faculty Services Office Manager. The data will be correlated with program student outcomes. Aggregated data will be reviewed by faculty at the annual faculty assessment meeting and by smaller departmental groups. Faculty will provide comments for the narrative report.

Revised 9-3-2021 Page 3 of 7



4. What are the findings and what do they tell the faculty about student learning in your program? (750 characters or less)

The Petroleum Technology Certificate program and Assessment Plan were revised and approved July 2020. Due to COVID response data was not collected at KPC – KRC for AY21. The PSLO data information included in the current report is from AY20 under the old PSLO listings which were shared with the PRT program. The AY 20 data shows evidence of a good success. PSLO data collection is planned for AY22. Note: With consideration to the COVID19 response, there has been an increased awareness concerning student success. Instructors have demonstrated flexibility and a willingness to make class presentation adjustments, which has contributed to the overall success of students attending classes in AY 21.

5. Based on the findings, did the faculty make any recommendations for changes to improve student achievement of the program student learning outcomes? Please describe the recommended action, what improvement in student learning the program hopes to see with this change, the proposed timeline, and how the program will know if the change has worked. If no recommendations for changes were made, please explain that decision. (750 Characters or less)

The Petroleum Technology Certificate program was revised and received final approval July 2020. The revised Petroleum Technology Certificate Assessment Plan was approved July 2020 There were no other formal permanent program changes made during the assessment period. There were however informal adjustments set in place due to COVID19 response. Discussion is taking place about making some of the informal changes permanent.

#### PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS AND ASSESSING IMPACT ON STUDENT LEARNING

Revised 9-3-2021 Page 4 of 7



☐ No changes were implemented in AY21.

If you checked "Other" above, please describe. (100 characters or less)
Revise Assessment Plan.

7. Do you have any information about how well these or other past improvements are working? Are they achieving their intended goals? Please include any data or assessment results that help you demonstrate this. (750 characters or less)

N/A

## STUDENT SUCCESS AND THE CLOSING OF EQUITY GAPS

Programs are not required to respond to question #8 below for their report due on October 15, 2021. Question #8 will be required for the next round and moving forward.

8. Respond to at least one of the following metrics. Student success depends on many aspects of a student's experience. On the academic program level, it can relate to correct placement, course sequencing, standardized pre-requisites, the intentional use of high impact practices, proactive advising, course scheduling practices, etc. UAA is using the following two metrics in its cyclical Program Review process, as well as in its reaffirmation of accreditation process. These data are included in the most recent IR-Reports Program Review dashboard. Please review these data for your program, note any equity gaps, and describe steps you are taking or plan to take to close those gaps.

Metric	Definition	Rationale
JUNIOR	The percentage of students who	Junior graduation rate (after 60
GRADUATION RATE	graduate with a bachelor's degree	credits) can reflect a department's
- BACCALAUREATE	within four years of first reaching	success in helping students
	junior class status (60 credits).	complete their degrees. Within their
	Data source: RPTP end-of-term	first 60 credits, students typically
	freeze files. Disaggregate as per	focus on completing GERs and
	accreditation.	often switch majors. Tracking how
		long it takes students to complete
		their degrees after 60 credits, when
		many students have likely
		committed to a specific major, can
		provide actionable information for
		departments.
COURSE PASS RATES	The percentage of students who	Low pass rates are one critical way
BY COURSE LEVEL	receive a passing grade (A, B, C,	to identify courses that are barriers
(Undergraduate lower-	P) for all undergraduate students	to student success and degree
division, undergraduate	and (A, B, P) for graduate	completion. Failing key courses
upper-division, and	students in a course offered by a	correlates with low retention and
graduate).	program compared to the same	more major switching. Mitigation
	rate calculated for all courses at	strategies can be internal or external

Revised 9-3-2021 Page 5 of 7



Metric	Definition	Rationale
	that level. Based on a 5-year	to the course itself, including,
	trend. Included in the	among other things, the use of high-
	denominator for undergraduate	impact pedagogical practices,
	courses are the grades D, F, W, I,	appropriate placement, course
	NP, NB. Included in the	sequencing, tutoring, and other
	denominator for graduate level	means to ensure student success
	are the grades C, D, F, W, I, NP,	within a particular course. This
	NB. Discipline acts as a proxy for	metric and the disaggregation of the
	a program. Data source: RPTP	data can inform planning, decision
	end-of-term freeze files.	making, and the allocation of
	Disaggregate as per	resources to programs and services
	accreditation.	designed to mitigate gaps in
		achievement and equity.

9. Do you have any examples of post-graduate success you want to highlight? For example, major scholarships, the percent of students who pass licensure examinations, the percent of students accepted to graduate programs, the percent in post-graduation employment in the field or a related field. (750 characters or less)
N/A

## **DEAN SECTION (Due to the program on January 15)**

After completing the Dean Section and signing it, the dean should email this form to the program, and copy <u>uaa oaa@alaska.edu</u> for posting. If the program is delivered on one or more community campus, the dean should consult with the appropriate community campus director(s) on the response and copy the appropriate community campus director(s) when emailing the response to the program.

- 1. Based on the program's responses above, what guidance and support do you have for the program moving forward? Is there a particular area the program should focus on? (750 characters or less)

  The program was not clear what their SLO's were, but the objectives 7 and 8 in their plan met their expectations. Based on the rest of the program report, it appears that the program is on track. I would recommend that they specify what the SLO is with their faulty and KPC's leadership on this form. The program though appears to be meeting their needs, and students appear successful.
- 2. Is there something the program is doing particularly well in terms of its processes for the assessment and improvement of student learning, including the closing of equity gaps, that might serve as a model for other programs? If yes, please explain. You may skip this question. (750 characters or less)

Revised 9-3-2021 Page 6 of 7



Dean's signature:

— Docusigned by:

Raymond Earle Weber

— DAAB67EA1B334FA...

**Date:** Select date.
January 4, 2022

Revised 9-3-2021 Page 7 of 7